

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 6422 P1229 US 10/731,968 12/09/2003 John Kantor EXAMINER 28390 12/01/2004 MEDTRONIC VASCULAR, INC. SNOW, BRUCE EDWARD IP LEGAL DEPARTMENT PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 3576 UNOCAL PLACE SANTA ROSA, CA 95403 3738

DATE MAILED: 12/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			$\Delta \Lambda \Delta$
Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	$ \forall$ \wedge \wedge
	10/731,968	KANTOR, JOHN	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Bruce E Snow	3738	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence addre	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	. 136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of the d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC te, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. INTHS from the mailing date of this comn ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.
Status			•
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
,	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allows			erits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6,8,18 and 24 is/are 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5,7,9-17,19-23 and 25-27 is/are re 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	e withdrawn from consider	ation.	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	ccepted or b) objected to e drawing(s) be held in abeya action is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureatteness. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in ority documents have bee au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National St	age
Attachment(s)	4) 🗌 Intension	r Summary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0: Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/17/04. 	Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-1	52)

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species 1 – figure 3

Species 2 – figure 4

Species 3 - figure 5

Species 4 – figure 6.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/731,968

Art Unit: 3738

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

During a telephone conversation with Louis Cullman on 11/18/04 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Species 1, claims 1-5, 7, 9-17, 19-23, 25-27. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 6, 8, 18, 24 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 15-16 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 15 and 16 claim the modules can be porous or non-porous. What is this describing? Please direct to the specification and drawings for support and description.

Claim 27 depends from itself.

Application/Control Number: 10/731,968

Art Unit: 3738

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-5, 7, 9-17, 19-23, 25-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Pinchuk et al (5,968,091).

Referring to figure 7, Pinchuk et al teaches a modular stent comprising:

A first stent module (a first plurality of zig-zags 34) defining a first passageway;

Application/Control Number: 10/731,968

Art Unit: 3738

At least a second stent module (a second plurality of zig-zags 36 adjacent to the first) defining a second passageway; and

At least one polymer bridge in communication with the first and second stent module, said bridge coupling said first and second module. See at least figure 7 and column 3, lines 20 et seq. Teaching a polymeric coating and types of polymers over most of the stent including internal and external surfaces.

Regarding at least claim 7 requiring a polymer hinge defining a gap, see column 2, lines 60-63, teaching the modules can touch or not touch (producing a gap).

Regarding the therapeutic agent, see column 4, lines 18 et seq.

Regarding at least claim 13, see column 4, lines 28 et seq.

Regarding claim 15, module is porous, the module configuration of both applicant and Pinchuk et al are similar utilizing a zig-zag configuration which leaves opening in the stent also known in the art as being porous.

Regarding claim 16, module is non-porous, the materials used to form the modules are non-porous.

Regarding claim 25, see at least column 7, lines 60 et seq.

Specification

The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: Claims 15-16 are not supported.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bruce E Snow whose telephone number is (571) 272-4759. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on (571) 272-4754. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

bes

BRUCE SNOW PRIMARY EXAMINER